

### **NS News Bulletin**

NSDAP/AO: PO Box 6414 Lincoln NE 68506 USA www.nsdapao.org

#1097 23.03.2024 (135)

#### Michael Kühnen

## The Second Revolution Volume I: Faith and Struggle

#### Part 10

It is hypocrisy when democrats say that there is freedom of speech in West Germany.

In this context, a quote (unfortunately I don't know by whom) that aptly characterizes the real situation: "In democracy, everyone has the right to stand up at any time and say everything that everyone thinks."

But anyone who dares to think for himself in this system learns about democracy, that is, about the democratic terror of opinion. Radical decrees, occupational bans and the informer system are witnesses to the democrats' fear of their own people. Manfred Röder was driven into exile, Wolf Dieter Eckart was sentenced to a longer prison term, I myself was remanded in custody - freedom of opinion Germany 1978!

It is hypocrisy when democrats say that popular participation in decision-making is possible through the parties. Decisions have long since ceased to be made in parliaments (have they ever been made there?). Basic guidelines come from Washington and Jerusalem anyway, everything else is decided in the well-smeared dispute of interest groups. The big monopolies, the international corporations, the single trade union, the golden and the pale red (social democratic) internationals hold

the real reigns. The people no longer appear at all; to speak here of their possibilities of participation is absurd and ridiculous. The task of the parties is only to distract the people from the real power relations, that is, to play theater and, incidentally, to catch possible tendencies of dissatisfaction of the protest with their right and left wing groups (see SPD in the case of the APO and CDU-CSU in the case of the NPD).

The people intuitively feel that they are being led around by the nose. This is evident in the increasing disenchantment with parties and the growing proportion of protest and non-votes. But the "soft suppression" works too well: 10-15 % would be willing to vote for a national right-wing party, 6-8 % for a green party, about 10 % for a tax party, but when the time comes, the fear that such a group will not make it after all wins out, and this resignation leads to the fact that so far it has not been possible to form a real opposition. Less than 2% of the population is organized in the "democratic" state party, which is less in percentage, as well as in number, than the membership strength of the NSDAP as late as 1945. - Long live democracy, the "rule of the people"!

It is hypocrisy when democrats say that there are no political prisoners in the FRG. More than thirty years after the end of the war, the National Socialist German Workers' Party is still banned, propaganda for the party, showing the swastika, the German salute and possession of Nazi propaganda material is punishable by imprisonment for up to three years (Paragraph 86 StGB). In addition, there is the Judenschutzparagraph (Paragraph 130 or 131 StGB), which punishes any critical reflection on the role of international Jewry with up to five years. (Sometimes it is enough to state that no six million Jews were gassed, or that the Jews have too much power, which is then, however, immediately convincingly proven by the court proceedings). How else should one call the comrades who violate these paragraphs on the basis of their political convictions and go to prison for it? They are prisoners of conscience, political prisoners of the democrats!

These are only a few examples of the lack of character, the mendacity of this system. The list could be continued at will, one only needs to examine the application of the basic rights to the German system critics, the National Socialists, from the freedom of opinion to the freedom of assembly, from the prohibition of persecution because of a political opinion, to the inviolability of the home and one recognizes: Democracy exists only for democrats, or as they themselves say:

"No freedom for the enemies of freedom."

And what freedom is, is determined completely freely by those who have the power. But in itself this is quite an acceptable argument:

Every state has the right, and if it takes itself seriously, even actually the duty, to defend its existence.

And we are enemies of the state, enemies of this state! We hate this system and want to overthrow it! Then they say to us:

"Would you tolerate any opposition? You have put your political opponents in camps."

#### And that is also correct:

In our understanding of a people's state, however, there are no enemies of the state, because everyone who is of good will will be given the opportunity to work and function for his people, even if he does not belong to us politically. There are then only enemies of the people, whom we will fight relentlessly because they want to undermine the national unity of our people, which we so bitterly need. So these arguments are seemingly valid in themselves, but is a democracy allowed to speak this way?

The "liberal-capitalist system" must ultimately decide what it wants to be: a mechanism for enforcing the will of the majority, i.e. democracy-rule of the people, or a liberalist Weltanschauungsstaat, which would maintain a system of ideological program points (the FDGO), ultimately even against the will of the people.

That means, either the liberal-capitalist system offers its opponents - thus also us - the possibility to beat it with its own weapons, with democratic means, as it already succeeded once in 1933! If the democrats allowed this, they would be consistent and honest. Because if they really considered the FDGO to be so good and consolidated, they should actually also be able to cope with the fact that radical alternatives present themselves to the people for election, otherwise there is just nothing - to elect.

Or they decide for the suppression of the opposition, to the "militant, fighting democracy", to the liberalist Weltanschauungsstaat. Then the opponents of this system, that is, we German freedom fighters, know where we stand and recognize that ultimately the liberal-capitalist system in Bonn, in contrast to the Weimar Republic, can only be eliminated by revolution.

What decision the democrats make is up to them. As Germans with backbone, however, we expect honesty and consistency, even from our ideological opponents and enemies. But these are not to be found in the Bonn system, because while officially they still speak of the free state under the rule of law, based on Voltaire's principle: "I detest every word he (the political opponent) speaks, but for his right

to speak it, I am ready to die at any time," in reality the democrats have long since opted for the second alternative:

Not to give the people a choice at all between the three ideological systems of our time, between communism, liberal capitalism and national socialism. Thus liberal capitalism proves to be a system of organized hypocrisy, and this is what I meant when I spoke of the democrats being the most disgusting creatures ever to rule a nation. That is why we have respect for the Bolsheviks, who are fighters who know what they want - even if we fight them bitterly. But for the democrats, with the healthy moral instinct of youth, we feel only one thing - contempt!

If we encounter the communists, steel meets steel; if the supporters of the liberal-capitalist system confront us, we notice only disgusting slime. In this context, a word about the use of force, the werewolf strategy, the armed underground struggle.

From the latter it is clear that the liberal-capitalist system seems just as determined to ensure its own survival even against the will of the people as the communists. Only the means are different:

The democrats prove to be smarter and more devious - they distract the people with the spectacle of the "free play of political forces," anesthetize them with prosperity and consumerism, and practice the gentle, unobtrusive suppression of political opposition. So there is morally no difference between the struggle for freedom in East and West. National Socialism in the fight against capitalism and communism!

It will not occur to anyone, in view of the East Zonal dictatorship, to ask us to organize openly there, to participate in the election for the People's Chamber. No one is surprised that National Socialists get together underground and do not completely rule out armed resistance. The same is true in the western zones of our occupied fatherland.

ARMED RESISTANCE AGAINST DEMOCRACY IS ALSO MORALLY JUSTIFIED IF IT IS NOT DIRECTED AGAINST INNOCENT PEOPLE! I believe that this is clear from what I have said. But:

#### MORALLY JUSTIFIED DOES NOT MEAN AT THE SAME TIME TACTI-CALLY REQUIRED!

I strictly reject the werewolf, the armed freedom struggle from the right, in the FRG, in the momentary historical phase! We are not in a revolutionary situation! The mechanisms of the gentle oppression are not even hintingly conscious to the

people, they would not have the slightest understanding for the werewolf at the moment.

The supporters of armed resistance say that in the event of a resumption of the military struggle for freedom, i.e., in terms of international law and technically a breach of the capitulation and armistice agreements of May 8, 1945, the liberal-capitalist system would be forced to drop the democratic mask and show itself in its real form, as a vicarious agent of the victorious powers against its own people - **This is correct.** 

But such a strategy overestimates our own strength. The German freedom movement would be bled dry in the attempt to organize the military struggle against the occupation regime. It would be a hopeless and useless struggle in which the best would be sacrificed senselessly. Perhaps the situation might be different for us if anarchists and communists had not in turn built up a guerrilla, the Red Army Faction (RAF).

The RAF has been waging an armed struggle against the oppressive apparatus of the liberal-capitalist system for years. I sincerely regret the innocent victims of RAF-style terrorist actions, but I do not want to hide my "secret joy" about this fight between our bitter enemies, communists and democrats. The bigwigs tremble, democrats cower behind barbed wire and machine guns, while Adolf Hitler could drive in an open car through cheering crowds. The difference between a democracy and a people's state cannot be symbolized more clearly!

As long as the RAF exists and there is no revolutionary situation in Germany, the werewolf is useless and even harmful. Of course, national activists can be prepared militarily in military sports groups for a final confrontation that is after all not completely ruled out, but there must be no armed struggle for freedom from the right at the moment.

Do not be embittered by terror, the system is just waiting for such a mistake from us to find a lever for the complete crushing of the movement. I am firmly convinced that we, like the leader, will find a viable legal way to power. The consequences and conclusions of the failed revolution of November 9, 1923, still apply. The future belongs to us! Let us conquer the temptation to want to artificially accelerate the course of history. Everything truly great must mature in order to become strong. Our time has not yet come.

Besides hypocrisy, we see in unbridled, unrestrained materialism the second basis of life of the liberal-capitalist system. This can be seen in the lavish lifestyle and the unlimited corruptibility of democratic politicians, who, like parasites, live not for but from their people. Like pigs at the feeding trough, they make themselves

comfortable, lowering pensions, raising taxes and doubling their diets, without forgetting to let themselves be paid by big industry, the supranational powers, or the unified unions by voting the right way.

They rarely see the plenary chamber of the Bundestag, and almost never their constituency, except when election time approaches again, but at receptions or on expensive study trips they are always to be met in a discreet suit with the Federal Cross of Merit and good manners, figureheads of an ideal, bourgeois world. Their missteps and scandals are usually deliberately suppressed by the system press. But nevertheless, the broad mass of the population demonstrates a remarkably correct instinct toward them: The people have never had a particularly high opinion of these their "representatives."

How little right these parliamentarians have to call themselves "representatives of the people. Who of them still has real contact with the people? "Where are the workers, the little people, who are supposed to be represented?" You see functionaries in parliament, civil servants and representatives of industry, but who represents the people? The people sit in front of the television screens and wonder!

There are certainly individuals in these ranks who are of good will. There are idealistic democrats who, like all idealists, have our respect even when we disagree with them and fight them; and there are also people among them who have recognized that things cannot go on like this. I am thinking, for example, of Herbert Gruhl, a member of the Bundestag, who put his career on the line and switched from the CDU to the Green movement in 1978. But there are only a few of them. The parasites who can expect a good life thanks to the work or non-work of a professional politician and the capable, inconspicuous technocrats who carry out the instructions of largely anonymous powers and act on their behalf as if they were shaping the political future of our country have prevailed.

But they know two things for sure, the new masters of 1945:

Democracy has been imposed on the German people twice, by the victors after lost wars. The people were never asked whether they really wanted this form of state and economy. The Basic Law has neither been approved by a freely elected parliament with the participation of all parties, nor by a referendum. The ban on the NSDAP and all its subdivisions was simply taken over from Allied occupation regulations into the penal code of the new republic. Volk does not occur at all in the Bonn occupation regime:

No vote on the constitution, no referendum or plebiscite, no free election of the head of state, but instead party bans, financing of the democratic state party by tax money, the NS ban and the 5% hurdle.

There are no democrats in Germany, except for the thin layer of those in power and direct beneficiaries. They know that very well. But the German people have always been obedient to authority and averse to revolution, and as long as Germans can enjoy a relatively carefree private life and no desperate life circumstances drive the broad masses to revolt, it is only minorities who are concerned about the future of our people. The stability of the liberal-capitalist system is based not on popular approval but on satisfaction with private living conditions.

It is not to the credit of the liberal capitalist system that these living conditions are still bearable for most Germans today, at the beginning of a worldwide crisis, but the result of the exemplary diligence and tenacious efficiency of the German people, who created their wealth out of nothing and are justifiably proud of what they have achieved.

But it is also the merit of the National Socialist state, which made the workers partners, equal citizens. It established the social obligation of property and thus awakened a sense of national community. The liberal-capitalist system owes its stability to the demonization of the National Socialist idea, while at the same time applying National Socialist methods.

As long as the democrats observe these two points, the system will persist, to the detriment of the German people. In order to be able to base the existence of their regime solely on the two principles mentioned, the democrats had to educate the people in crass materialism. All ideals had to be ridiculed and corrupted in the course of time, all values carefully limited to holiday events. The people were to be inspired only for apartment, car, television, refrigerator and washing machine, then for time apartment, second car, second television, deep freezer and automatic kitchen, then for mass feeding, consumerism and the ideal world of advertising after the us-American model, then for dull television series, deviant sexual practices and chaotic cultural decay in literature, theater and creative art. But all this for only one purpose: to put the people into a state of intoxication, to distract them from reality.

Since the end of reconstruction, that is, since the 1960s, the most capable people in Europe have been living in a terribly beautiful dream world, in an artificially induced decadence that is eating away at the spiritual, mental and physical health of our national body. Only in this way could the re-educators succeed in the long run to burn out of the brains of the Germans the idea of National Socialism of a separate German being between East and West, between communism and capitalism, to make it docile and to exploit it comfortably.

They succeeded in suppressing the idea of German freedom and forcing it deep

into the subconscious, but there it slumbers and will shape Germany again when the already vastly overstretched dream bubble will one day burst with a loud bang and the people, disillusioned for the first time in decades, will again see the real world and its merciless laws. Even the fact that the democrats are reapplying old National Socialist measures cannot save the liberal-capitalist system in the long run; in fact, it is proving harmful, since here, too, it is proceeding exclusively according to materialistic principles. The result resembles that of a heart transplant performed by a medical layman on the basis of a scientific textbook.

#### Here are some examples:

The Third Reich educated the Germans to the national community in the clear realization that a person can only love his fatherland if it treats him equally and respects him as much as any other fellow citizen. Thus, the National Socialist Volksstaat succeeded in overcoming the frontline position of the working class against the state, and for the first time the worker felt himself to be a full-fledged fellow citizen with all rights and duties. Even today, the liberal-capitalist system draws on this education of the worker by National Socialism. In Germany, there are few strikes and the workers are still willing to make sacrifices in the interest of the whole.

The Third Reich made it clear to Germans that the economy affects everyone, that the whole nation suffers when one sector of the economy is doing particularly badly, that excessive demands by one side are disastrous for the whole. Today, this is called social partnership, because the term Volksgemeinschaft is out of fashion.

With the German Labor Front, the Third Reich created an institution in which the state, employers and employees jointly sought solutions for a humane organization of the working world and, likewise jointly, planned the further development of the national economy.







# The NSDAP/AO is the world's largest National Socialist propaganda supplier!

Printed and online periodicals in many languages Hundreds of books in many languages Hundreds of web-sites in many languages



